

Observation of H₂ Evolution and Electrolyte Diffusion on MoS₂ Monolayer by In Situ Liquid-Phase Transmission Electron Microscopy

Jihoon Kim, Anseong Park, Joodeok Kim, Seung Jae Kwak, Jae Yoon Lee, Donghoon Lee, Sebin Kim, Back Kyu Choi, Sungin Kim, Jimin Kwag, Younhwa Kim, Sungho Jeon, Won Chul Lee, Taeghwan Hyeon, Chul-Ho Lee, Won Bo Lee,* and Jungwon Park*

Unit-cell-thick MoS_2 is a promising electrocatalyst for the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) owing to its tunable catalytic activity, which is determined based on the energetics and molecular interactions of different types of HER active sites. Kinetic responses of MoS_2 active sites, including the reaction onset, diffusion of the electrolyte and H_2 bubbles, and continuation of these processes, are important factors affecting the catalytic activity of MoS_2 . Investigating these factors requires a direct real-time analysis of the HER occurring on spatially independent active sites. Herein, the H_2 evolution and electrolyte diffusion on the surface of MoS_2 are observed in real time by in situ electrochemical liquid-phase transmission electron microscopy (LPTEM). Timedependent LPTEM observations reveal that different types of active sites are sequentially activated under the same conditions. Furthermore, the electrolyte flow to these sites is influenced by the reduction potential and site geometry, which affects the bubble detachment and overall HER activity of MoS_2 .

1. Introduction

The hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) via electrolytic water splitting facilitates sustainable H_2 production without the use of hydrocarbon sources. However, water electrolysis is considerably limited by its large activation energy barrier. Electrocatalysts can be employed for an energetically favorable HER by

J. Kim, A. Park, J. Kim, S. J. Kwak, D. Lee, S. Kim, B. K. Choi, S. Kim, J. Kwag, Y. Kim, T. Hyeon, W. B. Lee, J. Park School of Chemical and Biological Engineering and Institute of Chemical Processes Seoul National University Seoul 08826, Republic of Korea E-mail: wblee@snu.ac.kr; jungwonpark@snu.ac.kr J. Kim, J. Kim, B. K. Choi, S. Kim, J. Kwag, T. Hyeon, J. Park Center for Nanoparticle Research Institute for Basic Science (IBS) Seoul 08826, Republic of Korea J. Y. Lee, C.-H. Lee KU-KIST Graduate School of Converging Science and Technology Korea University Seoul 02841, Republic of Korea The ORCID identification number(s) for the author(s) of this article

D The ORCID identification number(s) for the author(s) of this article can be found under https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.202206066.

DOI: 10.1002/adma.202206066

Adv. Mater. 2022, 34, 2206066

5066. Seoul 08826, Repu

lowering the reaction barrier in the transition state.^[1-4] It is well-known that the active sites located on the catalyst surface promote important HER steps, including reactant adsorption (Volmer step), electrochemical H₂ desorption (Heyrovsky step), and chemical H₂ desorption (Tafel step).^[5] MoS₂ monolayer is a promising HER catalyst because its HER activity can be easily tuned by manipulating the type and density of catalytically active sites.^[6,7] The basal plane of 2H-MoS₂ is chemically inert because of the fully occupied Mo d-orbitals. By contrast, the Mo-exposed centers located at point defects (S vacancies) and edge sites of MoS2 layers contain dangling bonds with unoccupied 4d-orbitals.^[8] These dangling bonds with unsaturated 4d-electrons locally increase

the electrical conductivity by tuning the bandgap energy, while the local strain induced by the vacant atoms strongly affects the electronic structure. The upshifts of d-band centers at the edge and point defects suppress electron filling in the antibonding states, increasing the charge transfer density and H affinity.^[9] Moreover, the Gibbs free energy for H adsorption is significantly reduced by the presence of Mo-exposed active

S. Jeon, W. C. Lee Department of Mechanical Engineering BK21 FOUR ERICA-ACE Center Hanyang University Ansan 15588, Republic of Korea C.-H. Lee Department of Integrative Energy Engineering Korea University Seoul 02841, Republic of Korea I. Park Institute of Engineering Research College of Engineering Seoul National University Seoul 08826, Republic of Korea J. Park Advanced Institutes of Convergence Technology Seoul National University Seoul 08826, Republic of Korea

sites. Such a fundamental understanding of the origin of HER activity enables the structural engineering of MoS₂ aimed at increasing its catalytic activity.^[10–12] However, it is not clear how different types of active sites kinetically respond to an electric potential, where the MoS₂ surface is electrochemically activated during its interaction with the electrolyte. Therefore, it is necessary to elucidate the effects produced by different types of active sites on activation kinetics, their reaction progressions, and rate-limiting factors affecting HER activities.

The H₂ gas evolution occurring at local active sites during HER presumably induces kinetic perturbation due to the formation of gas-liquid-solid interfaces.^[13,14] For instance, the interfaces produced by H₂ bubbles on the MoS₂ surface create convection in the adjacent liquid electrolyte, which can accelerate the mass transfer of electrolyte molecules to the active sites.^[15] However, if H₂ bubbles remain on the MoS₂ surface for an extended time, they are likely to reduce the electrolyte flow to the active sites. The impeded electrolyte diffusion by the adsorbed bubbles critically decreases the total current density and HER catalytic efficiency, as previously reported in studies of the bubble-pinning effect on the MoS₂ layer.^[16,17] Thus, reducing the contact time of H_2 bubbles with the MoS₂ surface is an important factor affecting the HER duration by opening an electrolyte diffusion pathway. The adsorption of bubbles can be manipulated by controlling the wetting of the liquid electrolyte with the catalyst surface.^[18] However, the surface wetting of H₂ bubbles and electrolyte molecules on MoS₂ and its effect on the HER progress remain unexplored because the direct observation of the HER occurring on the MoS₂ surface at a nanoscale spatial resolution is a challenging task. In this regard, in situ liquid-phase transmission electron microscopy (LPTEM) can directly visualize the H₂ evolution process at the individual active sites of the MoS₂ monolayer under electrical biasing, which facilitates the time-dependent analysis of the bubble formation and fluid dynamic behavior of the electrolyte.^[19-25] Herein, in situ electrochemical LPTEM is used for the real-time observation of the HER on the MoS₂ surface. The obtained results reveal that different types of catalytic active sites, such as strained defects, point defects, and edge sites, are sequentially activated under the same conditions. In addition, the direct nanometer-scale monitoring of the H₂ bubble formation confirms the active site-specific wettability of the electrolyte on the MoS₂ surface and kinetic detachment of surface H₂ bubbles caused by the competition between the bubble and electrolyte wetting processes on MoS₂ during HER.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. In Situ Electrochemical LPTEM Analysis of HER on $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MoS}}_2$ Monolayer

The LPTEM-based experimental setup for the in situ observation of the MoS_2 -activated HER is schematically illustrated in **Figure 1**a. The top chip of the liquid cell contains three electrodes micropatterned on the electron transparent SiN_x window. The MoS_2 monolayers grown by chemical vapor deposition (CVD) are transfer-printed onto a glassy carbon (GC)

ADVANCED MARKERIALS www.advmat.de

working electrode (WE) by a surface energy-assisted transfer method.^[26] Cs-corrected scanning transmission electron microscopy (C_s-STEM) observations of the transferred MoS₂ show the presence of covalently bonded Mo and S atoms in the MoS₂ monolayers, confirming their successful transfer printing (Figure S1, Supporting Information). Raman spectrum and mapping data also verify the complete transfer of MoS₂ onto the microchip (Figure S2, Supporting Information). The strong intensities of the Raman $(E_{2g}^1 and A_{1g}$ vibrational modes) peaks indicate high crystallinity of the MoS2 monolayers.^[27] The top chip with the transferred MoS₂ is assembled with the bottom chip to maintain perfect sealing of the liquid cell under the ultrahigh vacuum of the transmission electron microscopy (TEM) column. The liquid cell is also filled with an electrolyte (0.1 \times H₂SO₄ aqueous solution) by a liquid flow system. A negative potential for electrochemical reduction is applied to the WE during in situ LPTEM imaging, which is used to directly monitor the H₂ evolution process at the HER active sites of the MoS₂ layer. The time-resolved TEM images as increasing the negative reduction potential show the H₂ bubble formation and electrolyte flow on the MoS₂ layer (Figure 1b and Movie S1 (Supporting Information)). Deep-learning-based denoiser is introduced to improve the spatial resolution of the in situ LPTEM images. The linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) curve corresponding to the TEM images is presented in Figure 1c. To confirm the reliable electrochemical behavior of the MoS2 monolayers in the bulk, the HER of the MoS₂ layer in a beaker cell is performed using a large GC electrode containing MoS₂ flakes. The onset potential $(-0.309 V_{RHF})$ and Tafel slope (107 mV dec⁻¹) are obtained from the constructed LSV and Tafel plots (Figure S3, Supporting Information). These parameters are consistent with previously reported values, which demonstrate the robustness of MoS₂ monolayers.^[28] We also confirm that the onset potential of the MoS_2 -activated HER in the liquid cell is -0.756 V_{RHE}. In (i) and (ii), the reduction current increases within a small potential range, and H₂ bubbles are not clearly visible in the TEM images at a given magnification (Figure 1b,c), which is used for minimizing the electron beam damage (beam dose rate: $<0.247 \text{ e}^- \text{Å}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$). We confirm that the MoS₂ monolayers are stable at the electron beam dose of 0.247 e⁻ Å⁻² s⁻¹ during in situ LPTEM imaging (Figure S4, Supporting Information). As the reduction potential is increased to more negative values, direct observation of the H2 bubble formation becomes possible. Hydrogen bubbles are visualized by the bright image contrast in the sequential TEM images, while the local aggregation of electrolyte molecules induced by the outward electrolyte flow from the bubble growth location is shown by the dark contrast. The different contrasts of H₂ bubbles and electrolyte originate from the material density and thickness related to the electron beam deflection.^[29] In the medium potential range ((ii) and (iii)), the regions with the bright and dark contrasts appear transiently, as confirmed by the corresponding intensity profiles (Figure 1d). It is confirmed that the dark contrast in the images is not emerged from the electron-beam-induced damage (Figure S5, Supporting Information). Frequent alternation of the bright and dark contrast regions indicates the dynamic H₂ evolution and its association with the electrolyte flow. The gas products generated inside the liquid cell

ADVANCED SCIENCE NEWS

www.advancedsciencenews.com



Figure 1. In situ electrochemical liquid-phase transmission electron microscopy (LPTEM) for hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) of MoS_2 monolayer. a) Schematic illustration and optical microscopy image of LPTEM setup filled with the acidic electrolyte (0.1 N H₂SO₄). The white dashed triangles indicate MoS_2 monolayers transferred on the microchip. Scale bar: 10 µm. b) Time-resolved LPTEM images with increasing the applied reduction potential (0 to $-3.7 V_{RHE}$). Scale bar: 2 µm. c) Linear sweep voltammogram (LSV) during in situ electrochemical LPTEM imaging. Applied potentials corresponding to the TEM images are indicated by the magenta stars (i) to (v). d) Intensity profiles from the dashed lines in (b). e) Mass spectrometry of the gas products collected from the liquid cell after in situ LPTEM experiment.

are collected and analyzed by mass spectrometry (Figure 1e). Among these products, hydrogen detection confirms the successful H₂ evolution during the in situ electrochemical LPTEM analysis. In the high potential range ((iv) and (v)), the electrolyte wetting of the electrode surface is enhanced by the large applied potential,^[30] which promotes the full coverage of the MoS₂ surface with electrolyte molecules. The continuous supply of the electrolyte induced by the high reduction potential intensifies the production of H₂ gas and its prompt desorption from the MoS₂ surface fully covered by electrolyte species (Figure S6, Supporting Information). The gentle slope of the intensity profile indicates enhanced electrolyte wetting of the MoS₂ surface. The higher reduction current measured by LSV supports this conclusion. The observed fluctuations of the LSV curve are possibly caused by the repeated supply and blockage of electrolyte molecules by the adsorbed bubbles at the active sites. As the bubbles are formed with short lifetimes in the confined space of the liquid cell, they can locally inhibit mass transfer and decrease the current density.

2.2. Sequential H_2 Evolution from Different Types of HER Active Sites

The 3D geometry of the transferred MoS₂ on the GC electrode is schematically illustrated in Figure 2a. Atomic resolution Cs-STEM images display possible HER active sites of the CVDgrown MoS₂ layer including point defects (S vacancies) and Mo zigzag (Mo-zz) edges (Figure 2b). The height profile of the GC electrode measured by atomic force microscopy shows the curvature of the electrode edge that can induce a strain effect on the overlaid MoS₂ layer (Figure S7, Supporting Information). When a constant potential of $-2.2 V_{RHE}$ is applied to the WE, H₂ bubble formation is observed by the time-resolved LPTEM at three different types of active sites, including strained defects, point defects, and MoS₂ edges (Figure 2c and Movie S2 (Supporting Information)). A chronoamperometry curve obtained at an applied potential of $-2.2 V_{RHE}$ is presented in Figure S8a (Supporting Information). The first activation at the early stage of the HER occurs at strained MoS₂ defects. Dynamic H₂

ADVANCED

www.advancedsciencenews.com

4DVANCED



Figure 2. Catalytic active sites of MoS_2 monolayer and their sequential activation. a) Schematics of the geometry of the working electrode (GC) and MoS_2 electrocatalyst. b) Denoised C_s -STEM images of the point defect (S vacancy) and edge (Mo-zigzag) sites of the MoS_2 monolayer. Scale bars: 1 nm. c) Real-time LPTEM images during HER process at various types of active sites of the MoS_2 layer. Scale bar: 1 μ m. H₂ evolution at the each of the active sites are designated by the colored arrows. d,e) LPTEM images for H₂ bubble growth at the point defects (P₁–P₄) and edge sites (E₁–E₃), respectively. Contours of the gas–liquid–solid interfaces are indicated by dashed lines. Time-dependent contour lines are displayed in the progress of time at the right side of the images. Scale bars: 1 μ m in (d) and 500 nm in (e), respectively. f) H₂ bubble area versus time plot for analyzing the bubble growth rate for the active sites (P₁–P₄ and E₁–E₃). g) H₂ bubble area versus time plot of the merged bubbles (P₃ + P₄ and E₁ + E₂ + E₃) for the point defects and edge sites, respectively.

bubble formation from the strained defects is visualized by the alternation of the bright and dark contrasts in the time-series LPTEM images (Figure S9, Supporting Information). H₂ bubbles rapidly evolve from several strained defect sites, resulting in a line propagation, as indicated by the yellow dashed lines in Figure 2c. Subsequently, point defects (P_1 – P_4) of the MoS₂ layer initiate the formation of H₂ bubbles at t_o + 34.6 s during LPTEM imaging. Neighboring point defects independently

generate H₂ bubbles that grow steadily at each site, as shown in the magnified time-series LPTEM images (Figure 2d). The bubbles that evolve from the P₃ and P₄ sites collapse and merge after the time of t_0 + 47.9 s. Subsequently, the edge sites (E₁-E₃) of the MoS₂ monolayer are activated (Figure 2e). The bubbles evolved from the E₁ and E₂ sites merge during the early stage of bubble formation. The merged bubble (E₁ + E₂) grows faster than the bubble evolved from a single site (E₃) due to the

ADVANCED



high density of the Mo-zz centers. To determine the growth rate of evolving H₂ bubbles, their contour areas are tracked using homebuilt MATLAB codes and plotted with respect to time (Figure 2f). The starting points of HER activation obtained for different types of active sites are indicated by the orange dashed lines. Sequential activation in the order of strained defect, point defect, and edge sites is confirmed, a consistent trend for the HER activity reported in the previous study.[7] Because the applied electric potential is much larger than the onset potential (-0.756 V_{RHE} in Figure 1c), the observed sequential H₂ evolution indicates kinetically different responses of the active sites. Monitoring the bubble formation process on the nanometer scale shows the heterogeneity of the bubble growth rates obtained for different active sites of the same type (P_1-P_4) because the degree of S vacancy clustering can vary from site to site (Figure S10, Supporting Information).^[31–33] The H₂ bubbles evolved from the edge sites of the MoS₂ monolayer (E_1-E_3) also grow at different rates due to the different Mo-zz densities (Figure 2e,f). The largest growth rates determined for each group of point defects and edge sites are quite different (0.0319 μ m² s⁻¹ for P₃ and 0.0202 μ m² s⁻¹ for merged E_1 and E_2 ; see Figure 2g). The merging of bubbles increases the growth rate owing to the larger number of active sites. The growth rate of the merged bubble evolved from the P₃ and P₄ sites is equal to 0.0742 μ m² s⁻¹, which is 2.33 times larger than the bubble formation rate measured for a single P₃ defect site (0.0319 μ m² s⁻¹). The merged bubble evolved from the edge sites $(E_1 + E_2 + E_3)$ grows at a rate 0.0421 $\mu m^2 s^{-1}$, 2.08 times faster than the bubble before merging (0.0202 μ m² s⁻¹). However, this merged bubble growth rate is 1.76 times lower than the growth rate of the merged bubble evolved from point defects (0.0742 μ m² s⁻¹).

2.3. Potential-Induced Electrolyte Insertion to the Active Sites

The LPTEM observations of the MoS₂-activated HER describe the H₂ bubble growth and interaction processes as well as the related electrolyte transport, as shown in the TEM images acquired at an applied potential of $-2.2 \text{ V}_{\text{RHE}}$ (Figure 3a). The merged bubble undergoes a typical interface relaxation process, changing its original shape with a negative curvature into a spherical morphology, as indicated by the contour map and circularity changes of the merged bubble depicted in Figure 3b,d, respectively. The circularity of the bubble rapidly decreases after the merging events (I and II), as denoted by the dashed lines in Figure 3d. The bubble interface immediately relaxes to minimize the surface free energy, as confirmed by the circularity recovery. The growing H₂ bubbles are pinned onto the MoS_2 layer, which confirms the strong adhesion between MoS_2 surface and H₂ bubbles observed in a previous study.^[34] The pinned bubbles on the catalyst surface have been considered a source of deterioration of the HER catalytic activity, which can block the electrolyte supply pathway to the active sites. However, the defect sites of the MoS₂ layer blocked by the bubbles can maintain their activity due to the continuous supply of the electrolyte. The enhanced electrolyte surface wetting by the applied potential enables electrolyte penetration through the gas-solid interface (Figure 3c). The electrolyte diffused under-



www.advmat.de

neath the merged bubble can be transferred across the necking point (t_0 + 63.1 s in Figure 3c), which promotes the activation of defect sites, as confirmed by the continuous growth of H₂ bubbles at the blocked active sites. To enhance the potentialinduced diffusion of electrolyte molecules through the gassolid interface, a relatively large potential of $-4.2 V_{RHE}$ has been applied in this work (Figure 3e and Figure S8b and Movie S3 (Supporting Information)). A blinking of the dark contrast is observed at the point defects, indicating the rapid and frequent insertion of electrolyte molecules, while the size of the detached bubbles is smaller than that of the bubbles generated at the medium applied potential of -2.2 V_{RHE}. This phenomenon indicates that the enhanced electrolyte wetting of the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MoS}}_2$ surface at a high potential accelerates the electrolyte flow to the active sites and causes frequent detachment of the as-formed H₂ bubbles. However, at the edge sites, blinking of the dark contrast is hardly observed, while H₂ bubbles gradually grow along the periphery of the MoS₂ layer. We have also measured the average image intensity of five lateral pixels along the vertical line passing through the point defects, edges, and GC background and tracked the averaged intensity profile during the HER at a high applied potential of $-4.2 V_{RHE}$ (Figure 3f). The average intensities are normalized by the background intensity (I_{BG}) of the GC electrode located at a large distance from the MoS₂ layer (Figure 3g). The defect sites of the MoS₂ layer exhibit a rapid change in the bright and dark contrasts, while the MoS₂ edges maintain the bright contrast. The average intensities of the defect sites $(I_{\text{Defect}}/I_{\text{BG}})$ largely fluctuate in the range lower than that obtained for the GC electrode (I_{GC}/I_{BG}) , which suggests that fast H₂ bubbling and electrolyte insertion repeatedly occur at the defect sites. However, the average intensities of the edge sites (I_{Edge}/I_{BG}) fluctuate marginally and exhibit higher values than I_{GC}/I_{BG} , which implies that the electrolyte supply from the outside of the MoS₂ edge is restricted. Such a potential-induced electrolyte insertion process occurs differently at the point defect and edge sites, as schematically illustrated in Figure 3h. Thus, it is supposedly possible that the potential-induced electrolyte insertion affects H₂ evolution rates of the active sites listed in Figure 2g. The H_2 bubbles evolved from the activated defect sites of the MoS₂ layer grow isotropically, which allows uniform penetration of the liquid electrolyte along the entire periphery of the formed bubble. By contrast, the H₂ bubbles growing on the MoS2 edge sites spill over toward the basal plane of the MoS₂ layer due to the strong adhesion between these bubbles and the MoS_2 surface. The geometry of the MoS₂ edge promotes the anisotropic potential-induced insertion of electrolyte molecules. As the growing bubble covers the basal plane and the edge site, it is possible to further limit the electrolyte supply. It is different from the situation of point defect sited on the basal plane, where the electrolyte supply is radially uniform (Figure 3h). In addition, displacement heatmaps obtained from molecular dynamics (MD) simulation present that the mobility of the electrolyte at the edge of the MoS₂ layer (Figure S11, Supporting Information) is inherently low even in the absence of bubbles. Therefore, the geometry and directionality of H₂ bubbling are related to the electrolyte flow to the active sites, one of the important factors associated with the kinetic duration of the local HER activity of the MoS₂ layer. ADVANCED SCIENCE NEWS _____



Figure 3. Surface relaxation of H₂ bubble and potential-induced electrolyte insertion process during HER. a) In situ LPTEM images of H₂ evolution and bubble merging process under the applied potential of $-2.2 V_{RHE}$. Scale bar, 500 nm. b) Contour lines of the gas–liquid interfaces with the increased time. Scale bar: 500 nm. c) Contour maps of the gas–liquid–solid interfaces and the inserted electrolyte. The orange dashed lines and sky blue areas show the gas–liquid–solid interfaces and electrolyte inserted into the bubble, respectively. Scale bar: 500 nm. d) Circularity versus time plot extracted from the gas–liquid–solid interface contours. e) LPTEM images of the H₂ bubble formation and electrolyte insertion of the MoS₂ layer under the reduction potential of $-4.2 V_{RHE}$. Bubbles are indicated by yellow (defect) and green (edge) dashed lines in TEM images. Scale bar: 1 µm. f) LPTEM image and averaged intensity plot from 5 lateral pixel lines in the progress of time. g) Normalized intensity (I/I_{BG}) plot for the point defect, edge, and glassy carbon indicated by green, purple, and gray dots, respectively. I_{BG} is the averaged intensity value obtained from the light-green area in the averaged intensity plot of (f). h) Schematic illustration of the H₂ bubble evolution and growth at the point defect (left) and edge (right) of the MoS₂ layer.

2.4. Surface Wetting of the Electrolyte and $\rm H_2$ Bubbles on the $\rm MoS_2$ Monolayer

MD simulation results reveal that the local environment near the MoS_2 surface can be controlled by varying the external electric field strength applied to the system (Figure 4a). The negatively charged MoS_2 surface is described by the surface the

electric field enters. The number densities of the hydronium (H_3O^+) and hydrogen sulfate (HSO_4^-) ions exhibit electric field strength dependencies on their affinities toward the MoS₂ layer at all concentrations (Figure 4b and Figure S12 (Supporting Information)). Upon increasing the electric field strength from 0 to 4 V nm⁻¹, more H_3O^+ ions which generates H_2 bubbles accumulate on the MoS₂ surface, while the number of HSO_4^-

ADVANCED





Figure 4. MD simulation and surface wetting of the electrolyte and H_2 bubble during MoS_2 HER. a) Schematic illustration of the distributions of the H_3O^+ and HSO_4^- ions and water molecules with the presence of static external electric fields (0, 1, 2, and 4 V nm⁻¹). b) Number density plots (in the *z*-direction) of hydronium (H_3O^+ , left) and hydrogen sulfate ions (HSO_4^- , right) of 0.05 M system with the presence of static external electric fields (0, 0.5, 1, 2, and 4 V nm⁻¹). c) Lateral (*x*- and *y*-directions, left) and vertical (*z*-direction, right) displacement plots of the water molecules of 0.05 M system with the presence of static external electric fields (0, 0.5, 1, 2, and 4 V nm⁻¹). c) Lateral (*x*- and *y*-directions, left) and vertical (*z*-direction, right) displacement plots of the water molecules of 0.05 M system with the presence of static external electric fields (0, 0.5, 1, 2, and 4 V nm⁻¹). d) Schematic illustration of the potential-induced electrolyte wetting in the H_2 bubble formation and desorption processes.

ions near the MoS_2 surface decreases. The lateral (in the *x*- and *y*-directions) and vertical (in the *z*-direction) displacements of the water molecules increase as the applied external electric fields strengthen (Figure 4c), indicating that the stronger electric fields lead to the increase in mobility of electrolyte molecules near the MoS_2 surface.

These results suggest that surface wetting and the desorption of H_2 bubbles are key factors for the HER continuation that are strongly influenced by the interactions between the electrolyte and MoS₂ layer and can be modulated by the applied electric potential. Different scenarios of this behavior are illustrated in Figure 4d. For a static bubble on the MoS₂ surface, the surface tensions $\gamma_{gas-solid}$, $\gamma_{gas-liquid}$, and $\gamma_{liquid-solid}$ are equilibrated at the triple point of the gas–liquid–solid interface, as shown in

the central scheme of Figure 4d. If the negatively charged MoS_2 surface attracts the electrolyte, including mobile H_3O^+ ions (as observed in the case of a low reduction potential), it lowers the surface tension $\gamma_{iquid-solid}$ and promotes competitive wetting of the bubbles and electrolyte molecules on the MoS_2 surface (the left scheme in Figure 4d). Under these conditions, the convective flux (*F*) of the electrolyte produced by the adjacent growing bubbles can assist electrolyte insertion into the active sites. These effects facilitate the bubble formation by the continuous electrolyte flow to the active sites. The potential-induced insertion of the electrolyte can be accelerated by applying a large reduction potential, as illustrated by the right scheme of Figure 4d. In this case, the electrolyte with enhanced surface wetting occupies the bubble contact area, while the weakened

ADVANCED

ADVANCED MATERIALS

www.advmat.de

adhesive force of H_2 bubbles expedites the detachment of the as-grown bubbles from the MoS₂ surface. As a result, applying a large reduction potential leads to the fast detachment of small bubbles and dynamic insertion of the electrolyte into the active sites, which is consistent with the experimental results pre-

3. Conclusion

sented in Figure 3c.

ADVANCED SCIENCE NEWS _____

The obtained in situ electrochemical LPTEM data reveal that different types of active sites, including strained defects, point defects, and edge sites of the MoS₂ layer, are sequentially activated under electrical biasing conditions. The electrolyte surface wetting can be enhanced by applying a reduction potential, which facilitates the electrolyte flow to the active sites. The potential-induced electrolyte insertion strongly depends on the active site geometry and bubbling directionality, resulting in different growth rates of H₂ bubbles for point defects and edges of the MoS₂ layer. These results indicate that the surface wetting of electrolyte on the MoS₂ layer is an important factor affecting the continuous H₂ evolution and HER activity. There is no doubt that there are other factors to consider in order to understand the activity and kinetics of HER on diverse types of active sites on the MoS₂. Atomic structural identity of defects, their density on MoS₂ layer, and their structural stability in HER, when they are correlated with in situ observation for H₂ bubble production dynamics, are likely the important information to have more quantitative understanding on the kinetics of MoS₂ HER. In the current study, the spatial resolution is not enough to resolve structural identity of the active sites and their changes occurring during HER because of the limited resolution due to the presence of the electrolyte in LPTEM. Nonetheless, our study suggests that enhancing surface wetting and bubble detachment by selecting an appropriate electrolyte design is a useful strategy for the development of efficient electrocatalyst systems with high HER activities.

4. Experimental Section

Characterization of MoS_2 Monolayers: Raman spectrum and spectral mapping were performed by using aberration-corrected spectrometer with laser wavelength of 532 nm (HEDA, NOST) to determine the thickness and crystallinity of MoS_2 crystal. C_s-corrected STEM images operated at an acceleration voltage of 80 or 200 kV were obtained by using JEM ARM-200F (JEOL Ltd.) with a spherical aberration corrector (probe correction) installed in the National Center for Inter-University Research Facility at the Seoul National University (SNU). Atomic force microscopy was performed by using NX-10 (Park Systems) equipped with a single module flexure XY-scanner, installed in the Research Institute of Advanced Materials at the SNU.

Transfer Printing of MoS_2 Monolayers on the Microchip: Polystyrene (PS) solution (9 g of polystyrene beads in 100 mL toluene) was deposited on MoS_2 monolayer flakes on sapphire substrate by using a spin-coater (rotation speed: 1500 rpm, rotation time: 60 s). The substrate deposited by PS was baked out for strong adhesion between PS and MoS_2 layers (at 90 °C for 5 min). After immersion of the substrate in deionized (DI) water, the PS and MoS_2 film was manually peeled off from the sapphire substrate. The stripped film was transferred on the working electrode of the microchip (top chip) and immersed in toluene for 1 h to dissolve the PS film. After the PS dissolution, the microchip with the

as-transferred MoS_2 layers was rinsed by acetone and blown by high-purity nitrogen gas.

In Situ Liquid-Phase TEM Setup: The liquid cell for LPTEM analysis was composed of top chip (6 mm \times 4.5 mm \times 300 µm) and bottom chip (2 mm \times 2 mm \times 300 μ m). The top and bottom microchips included 50 nm thick electron transparent SiN_x window (window dimensions: 550 μ m \times 40 μ m for the top and 550 μ m \times 50 μ m for the bottom). Working electrode (WE), counter electrode (CE), and reference electrode (RE) were micropatterned on the top chip. Metal circuits were sealed with a spacer (SU-8, thickness: 500 nm). All microchips were purchased from Protochips. 0.1 NN H₂SO₄ aqueous solution was saturated with Ar gas for the prolonged bubbling time and used as the electrolyte in the liquid cell. Electrolyte was injected into the liquid cell by a liquid flow system (injection speed: 120 μ L h⁻¹). Potentiostat (Reference 600+, Gamry) was connected to the LPTEM holder (Poseidon, Protochips) for electrochemical experiments. In situ LPTEM experiments were performed by using JEM-2100F (JEOL Ltd.) operated at the acceleration voltage of 200 kV, equipped with an UltraScan 1000XP charge coupled device detector (Gatan). The electron dose rate during in situ LPTEM analysis was maintained to <0.247 e^{-} Å⁻² s⁻¹ for minimizing the beaminduced damage. In situ LPTEM videos were recorded with a frame rate of 10 frames s⁻¹

Electrochemical Measurement: The electrochemical measurements for MoS_2 HER were conducted with a three-electrode system in the liquid cell (WE: glassy carbon, CE and RE: platinum). The Pt reference electrode was calibrated in 0.1 N H₂SO₄ solution. The GC WE was replaced with Pt WE for this calibration process. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) obtained using Pt WE and RE featured a typical CV profile of the platinum (Figure S13, Supporting Information), where the curve exhibited the Pt oxide reduction peak at 0 V (vs Pt) in 0.1 N H₂SO₄ solution. The Pt--O reduction potential from Pt RE (V_{Pt}) showed the shifted value of 0.8 V compared to the potential from reversible hydrogen electrode (V_{RHE}) as the RE.^[35] Therefore, the obtained V_{Pt} values were converted to the values of $V_{RHE} - 0.8$ V ($V_{RHE} = V_{Pt} + 0.8$ V). Bulk electrochemical experiments were performed using potentiostat (PGSTAT302N/FRA2, Autolab) and beaker cell (WE: glassy carbon plate, CE: Pt wire, RE: Ag/AgCl). Surface area of the GC WE used in the beaker cell was 5 cm².

Training and Denoising of In Situ LPTEM Images: In the experiment, in situ TEM images were postprocessed to remove noise to improve visualization. Deep-learning-based denoiser was used based on unsupervised blind-spot denoising method^[36] with customized neural network. To train model and inference denoised images, PyTorch- and Pytorch-lightning-^[37,38] based homemade codes were used. Training datasets were generated by subsampling 256 × 256 sized image patches from TEM images. As data augmentation, patches were randomly rotated by 90°, 180°, 270°, and mirrored. Models were trained for every dataset with double NVIDIA RTX 2080Ti GPU, training and inference took about 4 h per dataset.

MD Simulation: The aqueous sulfuric acid solutions were comprised of hydronium ions, hydrogen sulfate ions, and water. Exact number of molecules, the corresponding salt concentrations, MoS₂ slabs' end-to-end distance (z-axis), and box size were shown in Table S1 (Supporting Information) and system images were shown in Figure S14 (Supporting Information). Vacuum was added to top and bottom of the MoS_2 /solvent system which was equal to the size of z length shown in Table S1 (Supporting Information). Defect MoS₂ slab had 19 S-defect sites, where 18 S-defect sites were aligned with 3 imes 6 matrix at the center and the other one at the left end of MoS₂ slab (Figure S14c, left, Supporting Information). Defect MoS₂ slab was placed at the bottom of defect MoS₂ and strained MoS₂ systems. Curvature radius of 10 nm was used to build strained MoS₂ systems. The actual molar concentrations shown in Table S1 (Supporting Information) were in the order of 0.05, 0.248, and 0.487 м, but for convenience, concentrations would be marked as 0.05, 0.25, and 0.5 м. Density of each concentration was calculated from 10 ns NPT bulk simulation with a system size of 5551 water molecules and 5/25/50 pairs of H_3O^+ and HSO_4^- . 20 ns NPT simulations were carried out on MoS₂/solvent systems in the presence of static external electric fields with intensities of 0.5, 1, 2, and 4 V nm⁻¹,



www.advancedsciencenews.com

respectively. For comparison, a simulation without an electric field was performed under same conditions. Number density profiles in the z-direction for ions were produced with last 5 ns of the NPT simulations. Water displacement analysis was conducted by averaging the movement of water molecules for 6 ps during the last 10 ns of the NVT simulations. All atom simulation was performed using GROMACS^[39,40] molecular dynamics simulation package with optimized potentials for liquid simulation-all-atom (OPLS-AA) force field where the parameters were generated by LigParGen^[41-43] force-field generator. Structure of MoS₂ slab was constructed using Inorganic Crystal Structure Database ID: 24000 and the force field parameters were taken from previous studies.^[44,45] Charges of vacancy neighboring Mo were taken from density-derived electrostatic and chemical (DDEC) charge^[46] and other charges of Mo at defect MoS₂ slab were set to 0.5108 to make the slab charge neutral. The cutoff distance for short-range van der Waals and Coulombic interactions was set to 1 nm. Long-range electrostatic interactions further than cutoff distance were calculated by particle mesh $\mathsf{Ewald}^{[47]}$ method. Bond lengths were constrained by LINCS algorithm.^[48] The integration timestep was set to 2 fs and temperature of the system was set to 298 K. Nosé-Hoover^[49,50] thermostat and Parrinello-Rahman^[51] pressure coupling were used in the simulation.

Supporting Information

Supporting Information is available from the Wiley Online Library or from the author.

Acknowledgements

J.K. and A.P. contributed equally to this work. J.K., J.K., B.K.C., S.K., J.K., T.H., and J.P. acknowledge the Institutes for Basic Science (Grant No. IBS-R006-D1). J.P. acknowledges the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant, funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (Grant No. NRF-2021M3H4A1A02049904).

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Keywords

2D materials, electrolyte insertion, H_2 bubble formation, hydrogen evolution reaction (HER), molybdenum sulfide (MoS_2)

- Received: July 4, 2022
- Revised: September 2, 2022
- Published online: October 10, 2022
- [1] I. Roger, M. A. Shipman, M. D. Symes, *Nat. Rev. Chem.* 2017, 1, 0003.
- [2] V. R. Stamenkovic, D. Strmcnik, P. P. Lopes, N. M. Markovic, Nat. Mater. 2017, 16, 57.

- [3] J. D. Benck, T. R. Hellstern, J. Kibsgaard, P. Chakthranont, T. F. Jaramillo, ACS Catal. 2014, 4, 3957.
- [4] T. F. Jaramillo, K. P. Jørgensen, J. Bonde, J. H. Nielsen, S. Horch, I. Chorkendorff, *Science* 2007, 317, 100.
- [5] Q. Tang, D.-E. Jiang, ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 4953.
- [6] M. A. R. Anjum, H. Y. Jeong, M. H. Lee, H. S. Shin, J. S. Lee, Adv. Mater. 2018, 30, 1707105.
- [7] H. Li, C. Tsai, A. L. Koh, L. Cai, A. W. Contryman, A. H. Fragapane, J. Zhao, H. S. Han, H. C. Manoharan, F. Abild-Pedersen, J. K. Nørskov, X. Zheng, *Nat. Mater.* **2016**, *15*, 48.
- [8] M. Liu, M. S. Hybertsen, Q. Wu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2020, 132, 14945.
- [9] X. Wang, Y. Zhang, H. Si, Q. Zhang, J. Wu, L. Gao, X. Wei, Y. Sun, Q. Liao, Z. Zhang, K. Ammarah, L. Gu, Z. Kang, Y. Zhang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 4298.
- [10] J. Xie, H. Zhang, S. Li, R. Wang, X. Sun, M. Zhou, J. Zhou, X. W. Lou, Y. Xie, Adv. Mater. 2013, 25, 5807.
- [11] J. Hu, B. Huang, C. Zhang, Z. Wang, Y. An, D. Zhou, H. Lin, M. K. H. Leung, S. Yang, *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2017**, *10*, 593.
- [12] J. Kibsgaard, Z. Chen, B. N. Reinecke, T. F. Jaramillo, Nat. Mater. 2012, 11, 963.
- [13] J. Zhang, F. Dong, C. Wang, J. Wang, L. Jiang, C. Yu, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2021, 13, 32435.
- [14] S. K. Mazloomi, N. Sulaiman, Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev. 2012, 16, 4257.
- [15] G. Wosiak, J. da Silva, S. S. Sena, R. N. de Andrade, E. Pereira, *Chem. Eng. J.* 2022, 433, 133194.
- [16] A. Angulo, P. van der Linde, H. Gardeniers, M. Modestino, D. F. Rivas, *Joule* 2020, 4, 555.
- [17] G. R. Bhimanapati, T. Hankins, Y. Lei, R. A. Vilá, I. Fuller, M. Terrones, J. A. Robinson, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2016, 8, 22190.
- [18] G. Liu, W. S. Y. Wong, M. Kraft, J. W. Ager, D. Vollmer, R. Xu, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2021, 50, 10674.
- [19] Z. Ou, Z. Wang, B. Luo, E. Luijten, Q. Chen, Nat. Mater. 2020, 19, 450.
- [20] R. Serra-Maia, P. Kumar, A. C. Meng, A. C. Foucher, Y. Kang, K. Karki, D. Jariwala, E. A. Stach, ACS Nano 2021, 15, 10228.
- [21] Z.-W. Yin, S. B. Betzler, T. Sheng, Q. Zhang, X. Peng, J. Shangguan, K. C. Bustillo, J.-T. Li, S.-G. Sun, H. Zheng, *Nano Energy* **2019**, *62*, 507.
- [22] T.-H. Shen, L. Spillane, J. Peng, Y. Shao-Horn, V. Tileli, Nat. Catal. 2022, 5, 30.
- [23] J. Yang, M. K. Choi, Y. Sheng, J. Jung, K. Bustillo, T. Chen, S.-W. Lee, P. Ercius, J. H. Kim, J. H. Warner, E. M. Chan, H. Zheng, *Nano Lett.* **2019**, *19*, 1788.
- [24] Z. Ou, L. Yao, H. An, B. Shen, Q. Chen, Nat. Commun. 2020, 11, 4555.
- [25] G. Dunn, V. P. Adiga, T. Pham, C. Bryant, D. J. Horton-Bailey, J. N. Belling, B. LaFrance, J. A. Jackson, H. R. Barzegar, J. M. Yuk, S. Aloni, M. F. Crommie, A. Zettl, ACS Nano 2020, 14, 9637.
- [26] J. Kim, H. Seung, D. Kang, J. Kim, H. Bae, H. Park, S. Kang, C. Choi, B. K. Choi, J. S. Kim, T. Hyeon, H. Lee, D.-H. Kim, S. Shim, J. Park, *Nano Lett.* **2021**, *21*, 9153.
- [27] H. Li, Q. Zhang, C. C. R. Yap, B. K. Tay, T. H. T. Edwin, A. Olivier, D. Baillargeat, Adv. Funct. Mater. 2012, 22, 1385.
- [28] J. Zhang, J. Wu, H. Guo, W. Chen, J. Yuan, U. Martinez, G. Gupta, A. Mohite, P. M. Ajayan, J. Lou, *Adv. Mater.* **2017**, *29*, 1701955.
- [29] W. Wang, T. Xu, J. Chen, J. Shangguan, H. Dong, H. Ma, Q. Zhang, J. Yang, T. Bai, Z. Guo, H. Fang, H. Zheng, L. Sun, *Nat. Mater.* **2022**, *21*, 859.
- [30] P. Snapp, J. M. Kim, C. Cho, J. Leem, M. F. Haque, S. Nam, NPG Asia Mater. 2020, 12, 22.
- [31] W. Zhou, X. Zou, S. Najmaei, Z. Liu, Y. Shi, J. Kong, J. Lou, P. M. Ajayan, B. I. Yakobson, J.-C. Idrobo, *Nano Lett.* **2013**, *13*, 2615.
- [32] W. Zhou, L. Dong, L. Tan, Q. Tang, Nanotechnology 2021, 32, 145718.

ADVANCED SCIENCE NEWS

www.advancedsciencenews.com



- [33] X. Liu, X. Jiang, G. Shao, H. Xiang, Z. Li, Y. Jin, Y. Chen, H. Jiang, H. Li, J. Shui, Y. Feng, S. Liu, *Small* **2022**, *18*, 2200601.
- [34] Z. Lu, W. Zhu, X. Yu, H. Zhang, Y. Li, X. Sun, X. Wang, H. Wang, J. Wang, J. Luo, X. Lei, L. Jiang, *Adv. Mater.* **2014**, *26*, 2683.
- [35] B. Łosiewicz, R. Jurczakowski, A. Lasia, *Electrochim. Acta* 2012, 80, 292.
- [36] A. Krull, T.-O. Buchholz, F. Jug, in 2019 IEEE/CVF Conf. Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), IEEE, Piscataway, NJ, USA 2019, p. 2124–2132.
- [37] A. Paszke, S. Gross, F. Massa, A. Lerer, J. Bradbury, G. Chanan, T. Killeen, Z. Lin, N. Gimelshein, L. Antiga, A. Desmaison, A. Kopf, E. Yang, Z. DeVito, M. Raison, A. Tejani, S. Chilamkurthy, B. Steiner, L. Fang, J. Bai, S. Chintala, in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 32 (NeurIPS 2019)* (Eds: H. Wallach, H. Larochelle, A. Beygelzimer, F. d'Alché-Buc, E. Fox, R. Garnett), NeurIPS, La Jolla, CA, USA **2019**, p. 8024.
- [38] W. Falcon, *GitHub*, https://github.com/PyTorchLightning/pytorchlightning (accessed: December 2021).
- [39] B. Hess, C. Kutzner, D. van der Spoel, E. Lindahl, J. Chem. Theory Comput. 2008, 4, 435.

- [40] S. Pronk, S. Páll, R. Schulz, P. Larsson, P. Bjelkmar, R. Apostolov, M. R. Shirts, J. C. Smith, P. M. Kasson, D. van der Spoel, B. Hess, E. Lindahl, *Bioinformatics* **2013**, *29*, 845.
- [41] L. S. Dodda, I. Cabeza de Vaca, J. Tirado-Rives, W. L. Jorgensen, Nucleic Acids Res. 2017, 45, W331.
- [42] L. S. Dodda, J. Z. Vilseck, J. Tirado-Rives, W. L. Jorgensen, J. Phys. Chem. B 2017, 121, 3864.
- [43] W. L. Jorgensen, J. Tirado-Rives, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2005, 102, 6665.
- [44] V. Sresht, A. G. Rajan, E. Bordes, M. S. Strano, A. A. H. Pádua, D. Blankschtein, J. Phys. Chem. C 2017, 121, 9022.
- [45] I. G. Tironi, R. M. Brunne, W. F. van Gunsteren, Chem. Phys. Lett. 1996, 250, 19.
- [46] S. Mitra, A. Kabiraj, S. Mahapatra, npj 2D Mater. Appl. 2021, 5, 33.
- [47] T. Darden, D. York, L. Pedersen, J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 10089.
- [48] B. Hess, H. Bekker, H. J. C. Berendsen, J. G. E. M. Fraaije, J. Comput. Chem. 1997, 18, 1463.
- [49] S. Nosé, Mol. Phys. 1984, 52, 255.
- [50] W. G. Hoover, Phys. Rev. A 1985, 31, 1695.
- [51] M. Parrinello, A. Rahman, J. Appl. Phys. 1981, 52, 7182.